

REVIEW ARTICLE

MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF DRUG RESISTANCE IN *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

E. Tortoli^{1*}, C. Piersimoni²

1. Laboratorio di Microbiologia e Virologia, Ospedale di Careggi, 50139 Firenze, Italy. Tel: +39-055-4279199; Fax +39-055-4279292; E-mail:tortoli@dada.it
2. Dipartimento di Microbiologia Clinica, Ospedale Umberto I-Torrette, Ancona, Italy.

* corresponding author

Received 4 December 1998

Enrico Tortoli, graduated from the Biological Science faculty of the University of Florence and later specialised in Biochemistry and Microbiology, is responsible of the Mycobacteriology unit at the Microbiology Laboratory of Careggi Hospital in Florence. His main interest are different sectors of mycobacteriology with particular respect to identification and taxonomy. He has published over 150 scientific papers in the field.



Key words: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, drug resistance, molecular mechanisms

The burden of tuberculosis worldwide

Mycobacterium tuberculosis, and the less frequent species *Mycobacterium bovis* and *Mycobacterium africanum*, are the causative agents of tuberculous disease. Humans are the only reservoir of *M. tuberculosis* which is now estimated to involve one third of the world's population and which was first documented in 3,000 BC [1]. Although only a low proportion of infected individuals develop the disease, the World Health Organization has estimated that eight million new cases and three million deaths occur each year [2], making tuberculosis the leading single infective cause of death worldwide. Ninety five per cent of the cases of tuberculosis have been reported by non-industrialized countries, yet in industrialized countries, where the disease was considered to be under control and on the way to eradication, an alarming increase in incidence has been observed since the 1980s [3]; this increase has been made even more alarming by the emergence of multidrug resistance [4].

The mycobacterial envelope

M. tuberculosis is intrinsically resistant to most antibiotics. This resistance seems to be

mainly due to the organism's envelope which is mostly made up of lipids (up to 60% of its weight). The asymmetric lipid bilayer, with an inner leaflet containing mycolic acids and an outer leaflet of extractable lipids, acts as a permeability barrier to hydrophylic solutes, whose influx is limited by the low number of porins present in the mycobacterial envelope [5]. The diffusion of lipophilic solutes, such as rifamycins, is slowed down by the low fluidity of mycolic acids and by the thickness of the lipid bilayer.

Metabolic conditions of *M. tuberculosis*

M. tuberculosis may be present in tissues under different metabolic conditions: it replicates actively in the aerobic and neutral-pH pulmonary environment, yet its growth is extremely slow in caseous lesions and macrophages, where pH and oxygenation are lower, and is completely blocked in dormant bacilli surviving under anaerobic conditions.

Replicating conditions of *M. tuberculosis* largely influences the activity of single drugs. Among the first line drugs, only rifampicin is active against both extra- and intra-macrophagic bacilli, and none of these drugs is active against dormant bacilli [6].

Antimicrobial resistance

Molecules active against *M. tuberculosis* are divided into first-line and second-line drugs; the first-line drugs, which are the drugs of choice for treating disease due to susceptible strains, include isoniazid, streptomycin, ethambutol, rifampicin and pyrazinamide.

M. tuberculosis acquires antibiotic resistance through mutations occurring within its chromosome, given that no plasmid resistance has been detected to date. Drug resistant mutants arise spontaneously, independently from the exposure to drugs; their frequency varies with the specific drug and has been estimated to be 10^{-6} for isoniazid, streptomycin and ethambutol and 10^{-8} for rifampicin [7].

While therapeutic regimens using a single drug can rapidly select for the rare resistant mutants, double mutations affording resistance to two antibiotics are rare in the population of bacilli typically present in tuberculous lesions [8].

The definition of resistance of *M. tuberculosis* is based on a single empirically determined criterion: the detection of resistance in vitro involving at least 1% of the mycobacterial population [9]. The molecular basis of *M. tuberculosis* resistance is complex and remains to be fully elucidated.

Isoniazid

The isonicotinic acid hydrazide (isoniazid) was discovered in 1912 but its use in tuberculosis only started in 1952. The drug has strong bactericidal activity against *M. tuberculosis* complex, but its activity is scanty or absent against other mycobacterial species. The efficacy of isoniazid is limited to actively growing organisms under aerobic conditions. The mechanism of action is unknown, but it appears to be mediated by the enzyme catalase-peroxidase, the enzyme eliminating reactive oxygen resulting from cell metabolism, which likely catalyses a peroxidative transformation of the drug into a bactericidal derivative [10]. The main target of its action appears to be the mycolic acid biosynthesis.

Reduction or lack of catalase activity in isoniazid-resistant *M. tuberculosis* was first detected many years ago [11] and has been reported to be associated with a reduced virulence in the guinea pig model. It is now known that these changes result from mutations or deletions of the gene *katG*, which encodes for the catalase-peroxidase enzyme [12, 13]. In fact, almost 60% of isoniazid-resistant *M. tuberculosis* strains present mutations in the *katG* locus [14]; such mutations probably imply the impossibility of converting the drug into its active moiety, as apparently confirmed by the restoration of susceptibility to isoniazid following the genetic transfer of an effective *katG* locus [13].

In a limited number of isoniazid-resistant-*katG*-defective strains, a further mutation in the promoter of *ahpC*, the gene encoding for alkyl hydroperoxyde reductase C, has been detected [15]. This mutation, which leads to overexpression of *ahpC*, seems, however, to be only indirectly associated with isoniazid-resistance and is most likely acquired to compensate for the loss of catalase-peroxidase activity [15].

A further resistance to isoniazid has been found to be associated with resistance to ethionamide and with a mutation in the *inhA* gene [16], whose product, *inhA* protein, is probably involved in fatty acid biosynthesis [17] and could be the target of activated isoniazid.

At least one other mechanism of resistance to isoniazid may exist, given that a significant proportion (15-25%) of isoniazid-resistant *M. tuberculosis* isolates have wild type genes within both the *katG* and *inhA* regions. A very recent study suggests that the target of isoniazid may be, instead of *inhA*, the *kasA* protein, which is involved in fatty acid elongation; mutations of *kasA* locus have been found in about 15% of isoniazid-resistant strains [18].

Streptomycin

Streptomycin was discovered in 1943 and was the first available scientifically proven agent for treating tuberculosis. Unlike other first-line anti-tuberculosis drugs, it has a broad spectrum of antibacterial activity. The specific tuberculocidal activity of streptomycin is limited to continuously growing extracellular mycobacteria.

The mechanism of action of streptomycin, and of other aminoglycosides as well, is characterized by binding to 16S ribosomal RNA, thus inhibiting the binding of aminoacyl-tRNA and consequently perturbing the synthesis of proteins [19].

Resistance to streptomycin in *M. tuberculosis* is associated with mutations in the *rrs* gene encoding for 16S rRNA and the *rpsL* gene [20, 21] encoding for the S12 ribosomal protein, which interacts and stabilizes the higher order structure of 16S rRNA. Aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes, very frequent mechanism of resistance in many eubacteria, are not present in *M. tuberculosis* [22]. Almost 30% of streptomycin-resistant strains do not present mutations in the *rrs* or *rpsL* gene [23]. The mechanism of resistance in such organisms is unknown; however, since they usually display lower levels of resistance, alterations of the permeability barrier may be hypothesized.

The development of streptomycin resistance is more efficient in *M. tuberculosis*, in which mutations of *rrs* gene directly confer resistance, given that such species, like all slowly growing mycobacteria, possess only one copy of the 16S rRNA gene [24], differently from most eubacteria, which have multiple copies of the locus.

Ethambutol

This drug, first synthesized in 1961, is bacteriostatic and is active only against multiplying mycobacteria.

The molecular mechanism of action is unknown, though several targets of its inhibitory action have been hypothesized: the rRNA metabolism [25], the phospholipid synthesis [26], the transfer of mycolic acids to the cell wall-linked arabinogalactan [27], and the glucose conversion into the precursors used for the synthesis of cell wall polysaccharides [28]. The most probable target is currently considered to be the polymerization of arabinan in the arabinogalactan and lipoarabinomannan of the mycobacterial cell wall [29]. In fact, drug resistance has been recently found to be associated with a single codon mutation of *embB*, a gene of *emb* locus, which encodes for arabinosyltransferases, the enzymes that are probably the target of ethambutol [30].

Rifampicin

Rifampicin has been used for treating tuberculosis since the early 1970s; the drug binds to the prokaryotic RNA polymerase and thus interferes with transcription and elongation of rRNA [31]. Resistance to rifampicin is associated with mutations clustering into a "hot spot" (81 bp) of the *rpoB* region encoding for the β -subunit of RNA-polymerase [32], with more than three quarters of mutations affecting specific positions and usually resulting in a single amino-acid change.

In *M. tuberculosis* only, resistance to this drug appears to be inmutable to mutations in a single gene (e.g., mutated *rpoB* gene). In fact, this gene has been observed in over 97% of the rifampicin-resistant isolates investigated to date, [32]. The possibility of conferring rifampicin-resistance using a mutant *rpoB* allele [31] and of restoring susceptibility with a plasmid carrying the wild type *rpoB* are strong evidence that specific mutations directly confer rifampicin-resistance [14].

Rifabutin, although sharing the same mechanism of action as rifampicin, is active *in vitro* against more than 10% of rifampicin-resistant *M. tuberculosis* [33]; such strains are characterized by specific *rpoB* mutations, but they present minimal inhibitory concentrations within the range of drug concentration effective *in vivo* [34].

Pyrazinamide

Pyrazinamide, a derivative of nicotinamide first synthesized in 1952, is inactive against replicating *M. tuberculosis*. With respect to bacilli surviving within macrophages, pyrazinamide is bacteriostatic when used alone, yet it is rapidly bactericidal when used in association with isoniazid. Pyrazinamide, which, *in vitro*, is only active at an acid pH, is converted, *in vivo*, to pyrazinoic acid, its active moiety, by pyrazinamidase [35]. The lowering of pH brought about by pyrazinoic acid is thought to contribute to the drug's damaging effect of *M. tuberculosis* [35].

The lack, or the unusually low levels, of pyrazinamidase in most pyrazinamide-resistant strains of *M. tuberculosis* [36] suggest that this enzyme is involved in the mechanism of resistance; this hypothesis seems to be supported by the recent detection, in over 80% of pyrazinamide-resistant strains, of mutations arrayed along the whole locus encoding for pyrazinamidase (*pncA* gene) [37, 38].

Quinolones

Several quinolones, such as ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin and sparfloxacin, are bactericidal against *M. tuberculosis* at concentrations easily achievable *in vivo*. Although they are not considered first-line antimycobacterial drugs, their role is becoming increasingly important, particularly for the treatment of multiresistant strains.

The target of quinolones is the DNA-gyrase, the enzyme that relaxes and recoils the DNA during transcription and replication. The binding of the drug to the gyrase leads to the inhibition of DNA-synthesis. Acquired high-level resistance in mycobacteria, which arises at a frequency of 10^{-7} - 10^{-8} , is associated with point mutations in the *gyrA* locus, one of the two genes encoding for subunits of the DNA-gyrase [39].

Mechanism of multidrug resistance

Investigations concerning *M. tuberculosis* multidrug resistance have not been able to detect any generalized mechanism restricting drug access or activating drug efflux; thus, the only currently accepted explanation of this phenomenon is the accumulation of acquired mutations. Resistance to isoniazid or rifampicin, the multidrug-resistance-defining molecules, has been proposed as a surrogate for the lacking marker of multidrug-resistance. Rifampicin is at present the most important candidate, since resistance to this drug is rarely encountered alone and is often present with resistance to isoniazid.

Genotypic methods for detection of drug resistance

The detection of mutations associated with drug resistance has in recent years led to the development of a number of techniques for assessing drug susceptibility at the genotype level. In theory, these techniques reduce to several hours the time needed to culture and to perform conventional susceptibility testing, which otherwise takes at least three weeks even with the radiometric method.

The first steps of all currently used genotype analyses consist of the destruction of the mycobacterial envelope and the polymerase chain reaction amplification of the genetic regions containing the known molecular targets at the basis of drug resistance.

Genetic sequencing of the previously amplified target gene [40] currently represents the gold standard in genotype-based techniques for susceptibility assessment. Such technology is in fact suitable for unambiguously detecting mutations known to be associated with phenotypic resistance. Recent advances in automated sequencing have largely contributed to the standardization of this technique and to bringing its cost within the reach of major laboratories.

Alternative and less expensive techniques have also been recently developed.

Single strand conformation polymorphism (SSCP) is based on the heat-separation of a double stranded amplified target gene; the conformation spontaneously adopted by cooled single strands which fold intramolecularly is highly specific and can be easily detected with simple electrophoresis in non-denaturing gel, revealing the electrophoretic motility related to the specific molecular conformation [32, 39, 41-44].

The sensitivity of SSCP is enhanced when using dideoxy-fingerprinting, a technique employing a single dideoxy-nucleotide to produce variable-length single-strand termination products to be subjected to the electrophoretic conformation analysis [45].

A technique that is conceptually similar to SSCP is heteroduplex formation, in which single strands of the amplified target are blended with strands from a susceptible *M. tuberculosis* control strain. Because of their different motility, heteroduplex resulting from the combination of a susceptible and a resistant strand are easily differentiated from homogeneous strands by means of gel electrophoresis [46].

Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), the most widely used technique for epidemiological investigations, has also been used for the genotypic investigation of drug resistance. Knowledge on site-specific mutations associated with *M. tuberculosis* resistance and the use of properly selected restriction enzymes allows the loss or gain of a restriction site related to the mutation to be easily detected [47].

Sequencing by hybridization is the only technique available as a commercial kit (Inno LiPA Rif TB, Innogenetic, Belgium). In this approach, the nucleotide sequence of the resistance marker is determined resorting to multiple short DNA probes specific for mutant and wild type "hot spots" of the target molecule. The resistance is detected by hybridization with one or more of the mutation-containing probes and the simultaneous absence of base pairing with the wild type ones. In the commercially available kit, developed for genetic detection of rifampicin-resistant *M. tuberculosis*, various probes are attached, in lines, to a nitrocellulose surface, and hybridization is revealed using the biotin-peroxidase reaction [48-51].

Genotypic assessment of drug susceptibility is extremely suitable for *M. tuberculosis* because of the long time required by conventional susceptibility testing; however, despite the enormous progress made in recent years, a number of problems still limit this genotypic approach. Specifically, all current methods require a large amount of the amplification product, which is hardly feasible with clinical specimens, which often have a small number of mycobacterial genomes or present some inhibitors. Unambiguous results are obtained with cultures, but this approach reduces only the time needed for phenotypic determination of susceptibility.

The single criterion adopted for the definition of *M. tuberculosis* resistance, (e.g., the presence of as few as 1% of resistant mutants within a mycobacterial population) does not always allow resistance at the genetic level to be unambiguously recognised, particularly when the resistant cells represent less than 15% of the entire population.

For most antitubercular drugs affected by mutations conferring resistance, susceptibility testing is hindered by the absence of a single mechanism valid for a substantial proportion of strains. Thus multiple tests would be necessary for each drug; yet the sensitivity of tests is often insufficient, owing to the existence of resistance whose genetic markers are unknown.

Furthermore, polymorphism-based techniques may lead to conserved mutations (e.g., mutations without amino-acid changes) being misdefined as mutations producing resistance.

Despite these problems, and the added considerations of cost and the need for skilled personnel, the results of current studies suggest that the role of susceptibility testing at the genotypic level will become increasingly prominent in the near future.

Acknowledgement

We thank Pasquale Urbano (Istituto di Microbiologia, Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy) for reading the manuscript.

References

- 1) Ayvazian LF. History of tuberculosis. In: "Tuberculosis: a comprehensive international approach" Reichman LB, Hershfield ES Ed *Marcel Dekker*. New York 1993, 1-20.
- 2) Kochi A. The global tuberculosis situation and the new control strategy of the World Health Organization. *Tubercle* 1991, 72, 1-6.
- 3) C.D.C. Tuberculosis morbidity: United States, 1994. *MMWR* 1995, 44, 387-395.
- 4) Snider DE Jr, Cauthen GM, Farer LS, et al. Drug-resistant tuberculosis. [letter]. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1991, 144, 732-732.
- 5) Jarlier V, Nikaido H. Mycobacterial cell wall: structure and role in natural resistance to antibiotics. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1994, 123, 11-18.
- 6) Inderlied CB, Nash KA. Antimycobacterial agents: *in vitro* susceptibility testing, spectra of activity, mechanism of action and resistance, and assay for activity in biological fluids. In: "Antibiotics in laboratory medicine" Lorian V Ed (4 ed.). *Williams and Wilkins*. Baltimore, Md 1996, 127-175.
- 7) David HL. Probability distribution of drug-resistant mutants in unselected populations of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Appl Microbiol* 1970, 20, 810-814.
- 8) Canetti G. Present aspect of bacterial resistance in tuberculosis. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1965, 92, 687-703.
- 9) Kubica GP, Dye WE. Laboratory methods for clinical and public health mycobacteriology. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Washington, DC 1967.
- 10) Devi GB, Shaila MS, Ramakrishnan T, Gopinathan KP. The purification and properties of peroxidase in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and its possible role in the mechanism of action of isonicotinic acid hydrazide. *Biochem J* 1985, 149, 187-197.
- 11) Middlebrook G. Isoniazid-resistance and catalase activity of tubercle bacilli. *Am Rev Tuberc* 1954, 69, 471-472.
- 12) Heym B, Zhang Y, Poulet S, Young D, Cole ST. Characterization of the *katG* gene encoding a catalase-peroxidase required for the isoniazid susceptibility of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Bacteriol* 1993, 175, 4255-4259.
- 13) Zhang Y, Heym B, Allen B, Young D, Cole ST. The catalase-peroxidase gene and isoniazid resistance of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Nature* 1992, 358, 591-593.
- 14) Cole ST, Telenti A. Drug resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Eur Respir J* 1995, 8, S701-S713.
- 15) Kelley CL, Rouse DA, Morris SL. Analysis of *ahpC* gene mutations in isoniazid-resistant clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, 41, 2057-2058.
- 16) Banerjee A, Dubnau E, Quémar A, et al. *inhA*, a gene encoding a target for isoniazid and ethionamide in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Science* 1994, 263, 227-230.
- 17) Winder FG. Mode of action of the antimycobacterial agents and associated aspects of the molecular biology of the mycobacteria. In: "The biology of the mycobacteria. Physiology, identification and classification" Ratledge C, Stanford J Ed, Vol 1. *Academic Press*. London 1982, 353-438.
- 18) Mdluli K, Slayden RA, Zhu Y, et al. Inhibition of a *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* b-ketoacyl ACP synthase by isoniazid. *Science* 1998, 280, 1607-1610.
- 19) Moazed D, Noller HF. Interaction of antibiotics with functional sites in 16S ribosomal RNA. *Nature* 1987, 327, 389-394.
- 20) Finken M, Kirschner P, Meier A, Wrede A, Böttger EC. Molecular basis of streptomycin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: alterations of the ribosomal protein S12 gene and point mutations within a functional 16S ribosomal RNA pseudoknot. *Mol Microbiol* 1993, 9, 1239-1246.

- 21) Meier A, Kirschner P, Bange FC, Vogel U, Böttger EC. Genetic alterations in streptomycin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: Mapping of mutations conferring resistance. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1994, 38, 228-233.
- 22) Martín C, Ranés M, Gicquel B. Plasmids, antibiotic resistance, and mobile genetic elements in mycobacteria. In: "Molecular biology of the mycobacteria" McFadden J Ed. *Academic Press*. London 1990, 121-138.
- 23) Honoré N, Cole ST. Streptomycin resistance in mycobacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1994, 38, 238-242.
- 24) Bercovier H, Kafri O, Sela S. Mycobacteria possess a surprisingly small number of ribosomal RNA genes in relation to the size of their genome. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1986, 136, 1136-1141.
- 25) Forbes M, Kuck NA, Peets EA. Effect of ethambutol on nucleic acid metabolism in *Mycobacterium smegmatis* and its reversal by polyamines and divalent cations. *J Bacteriol* 1965, 89, 1299-1305.
- 26) Cheema S, Astora S, Khuller GK. Ethambutol induced leakage of phospholipids in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. *IRCS Med Sci* 1985, 13, 843-844.
- 27) Takayama K, Armstrong EL, Kunugi KA, Kilburn JO. Inhibition by ethambutol of mycolic acid transfer into the cell wall of *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1979, 16, 240-242.
- 28) Silve G, Valero-Guillén PL, Quémard A, et al. Ethambutol inhibition of glucose metabolism in mycobacteria. A possible target of the drug. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1993, 37, 1536-1538.
- 29) Lety MA, Nair S, Berche P, Escuyer V. A single point mutation in the *embB* gene is responsible for resistance to ethambutol in *Mycobacterium smegmatis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, 41, 2629-2633.
- 30) Sreevatsan S, Stockbauer KE, Pan X, et al. Ethambutol resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: critical role of *embB* mutations. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, 41, 1677-1681.
- 31) Jin DJ, Gross CA. Mapping and sequencing of mutations in *Escherichia coli rpoB* gene that lead to rifampicin resistance. *J Mol Biol* 1988, 202, 45-58.
- 32) Telenti A, Imboden P, Marchesi F, et al. Detection of rifampicin-resistance mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Lancet* 1993, 341, 647-650.
- 33) Woodley CL, Kilburn JO. *In vitro* susceptibility of *Mycobacterium avium* complex and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains to a spiro-piperidyl rifamycin. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1982, 126, 586-587.
- 34) Bodmer T, Zurcher G, Imboden P, Telenti A. Mutation position and type of substitution in the β -subunit of the RNA polymerase influence *in vitro* activity of rifamycins in rifampicin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1995, 35, 345-348.
- 35) Heifets LB, Flory MA, Lindholm-Levy PJ. Does pyrazinoic acid as an active moiety of pyrazinamide have specific activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1989, 33, 1252-1254.
- 36) Butler WR, Kilburn JO. Susceptibility of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* to pyrazinamide and its relationship to pyrazinamidase activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1983, 24, 600-601.
- 37) Sreevatsan S, Pan X, Zhang Y, Kreiswirth BN, Musser JM. Mutations associated with pyrazinamide resistance in *pncA* of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex organisms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, 41, 636-640.
- 38) Scorpio A, Lindholm-Levy P, Heifets L, et al. Characterization of *pncA* mutations in pyrazinamide-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, 41, 540-543.
- 39) Takiff HE, Salazar L, Guerrero C, et al. Cloning and nucleotide sequence of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis gyrA* and *gyrB* genes and detection of quinolone resistance mutations. *Anti-*

- microb Agents Chemother* 1994, 38, 773-780.
- 40) Kapur V, Li LL, Hamrick MR, et al. Rapid *Mycobacterium* species assignment and unambiguous identification of mutations associated with antimicrobial resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by automated DNA sequencing. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1995, 119, 131-138.
 - 41) Telenti A, Imboden P, Marchesi F, Schmidheini T, Bodmer T. Direct, automated detection of rifampin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* by polymerase chain reaction and single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1993, 37, 2054-2058.
 - 42) Morris S, Han Bai G, Suffys P, et al. Molecular mechanisms of multiple drug resistance in clinical isolates of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *J Infect Dis* 1995, 171, 954-960.
 - 43) Heym B, Honoré N, Truffot-Pernot C, et al. Implications of multidrug resistance for the future of short-course chemotherapy of tuberculosis: a molecular study. *Lancet* 1994, 344, 293-298.
 - 44) Whelen AC, Felmler TA, Hunt JM, et al. Direct genotypic detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* rifampin resistance in clinical specimens by using single-tube heminested PCR. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995, 33, 556-561.
 - 45) Felmler TA, Liu Q, Whelen AC, et al. Genotypic detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* rifampin resistance: comparison of single-strand conformation polymorphism and dideoxy fingerprinting. *J Clin Microbiol* 1995, 33, 1617-1623.
 - 46) Williams DL, Waguespack C, Eisenach K, et al. Characterization of rifampin resistance in pathogenic mycobacteria. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1994, 38, 2380-2386.
 - 47) Cockerill FR, Uhl JR, Ternesgen Z, et al. Rapid identification of a point mutation of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* catalase-peroxidase (*katG*) gene associated with isoniazid resistance. *J Infect Dis* 1995, 171, 240-245.
 - 48) Cooksey RC, Morlock GP, Glickman S, Crawford JT. Evaluation of a Line Probe Assay kit for characterization of *rpoB* mutations in rifampin-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from New York City. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997, 35, 1281-1283.
 - 49) Matsiota-Bernard P, Vrioni G, Marinis E. Characterization of *rpoB* mutations in rifampin resistant clinical *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates from Greece. *J Clin Microbiol* 1998, 36, 20-23.
 - 50) Gamboa F, Cardona PJ, Manterola JM, et al. Evaluation of a commercial probe assay for detection of rifampin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* directly from respiratory and nonrespiratory clinical samples. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 1998, 17, 189-192.
 - 51) Rossau R, Traore H, De Beenhouwer H, et al. Evaluation of the INNO-LiPA Rif-TB assay, a reverse hybridization assay for the simultaneous detection of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex and its resistance to rifampin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, 41, 2093-2098.